## <u>VIA CERTIFIED MAIL</u> RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED

Connie J. Sherwood

MAY 2 0 2016

Bayville, NJ 08721

RE: MUR 6825

Dear Ms. Sherwood:

The Federal Election Commission reviewed the allegations in your complaint received on May 19, 2014. On April 27, 2016, based upon the information provided in the complaint, and information provided by the respondents, the Commission decided to exercise its prosecutorial discretion to dismiss the allegations and close its file in this matter. Accordingly, the Commission closed its file in this matter on April 27, 2016.

Documents related to the case will be placed on the public record within 30 days. See Statement of Policy Regarding Disclosure of Closed Enforcement and Related Files, 68 Fed. Reg. 70,426 (Dec. 18, 2003) and Statement of Policy Regarding Placing First General Counsel's Reports on the Public Record, 74 Fed. Reg. 66132 (Dec. 14, 2009). A copy of the dispositive General Counsel's Report is enclosed for your information.

The Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended, allows a complainant to seek judicial review of the Commission's dismissal of this action. See 52 U.S.C. § 30109(a)(8).

Sincerely,

Daniel A. Petalas

Acting General Counsel

BY:

Jeff S. Jordan

Assistant General Counsel
Complaints Examination and
Legal Administration

Enclosure
General Counsel's Report



## **ENFORCEMENT PRIORITY SYSTEM DISMISSAL REPORT**

MUR: 6825

Respondents:

Tom MacArthur for Congress

Ronald Gravino as treasurer

Response Date(s): July 14, 2014

Complaint Receipt Date: May 19, 2014

(collectively the "Committee")

**EPS** Rating:

Alleged Statutory/

52 U.S.C. § 30120(a)(1)

Regulatory Violations:

11 C.F.R. §§ 100.26, 110.11(b)(1)

The Complaint alleges that the Committee violated the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended (the "Act") and Commission regulations by mailing campaign brochures that lacked disclaimers stating that the Committee had paid for them. Respondents acknowledged that the disclaimers were inadvertently omitted.

The brochure enclosed with the Complaint included printed references to the Committee and photographs of MacArthur, a summary of his campaign platform and a statement signed by him, and the Committee's logo, official website address, return mailing address, and the address of its related social media accounts. Thus, although noncompliant, the information contained in the brochure reflects the identity of the party responsible for it.

Based on its experience and expertise, the Commission has established an Enforcement Priority System using formal, pre-determined scoring criteria to allocate agency resources and assess whether particular matters warrant further administrative enforcement proceedings. These criteria include (1) the gravity of the alleged violation, taking into account both the type of activity and the amount in violation: (2) the apparent impact the alleged violation may have had on the electoral process; (3) the complexity of the legal issues raised in the matter; and (4) recent trends in potential violations and other developments in the law. This matter is rated as low priority for

EPS Dismissal Report
MUR 6825 (Tom MacArthur for Congress)
Page 2 of 2

Commission action after application of these pre-established criteria. Given that low rating and the other circumstances presented, we recommend that the Commission dismiss the allegations consistent with the Commission's prosecutorial discretion to determine the proper ordering of its priorities and use of agency resources. *Heckler v. Chaney*, 470 U.S. 821, 831-32 (1985). We also recommend that the Commission close the file as to all respondents and send the appropriate letters.

Daniel A. Petalas Acting General Counsel

4116

BY:

Kathleen M. Guith

Acting Associate General Counsel

for Enforcement

Jeff S. Jordan

Assistant General Counsel Complaints Examination

& Legal Administration

Ruth Heilizer

Attorney

Complaints Examination

& Legal Administration